ITEM 1 – DA-639/2021 - Lot 231 Changsha Road, Edmondson Park

DA Number:	DA-639/2021
Property Address:	Lot 231 Changsha Road, Edmondson Park Lots 231 DP 1287558
Development Category:	Residential
Description:	The construction of two x 4-storey residential flat buildings comprising 39 residential apartments, with one level of basement car parking, and associated landscaping and site works.
Environmental Planning Instrument:	Liverpool LEP 2008
Zoning of Land:	R1 – General Residential and SP2 – Infrastructure (Local Road)
Development Standard Varied:	Clause 4.3 – Height of Buildings
Justification of Variation:	The variation to the maximum Height of Buildings development standard was considered acceptable in this instance as strict compliance was unlikely to reduce any impacts. The height variation does not negatively impact on the local area in terms of additional overshadowing or detrimental impact to the design of the building. Further, the additional height is not contributing to any detrimental increases in bulk and scale over the site including compliance with the floor space ratio provisions for the development. Additionally, the height exceedance allows for an added amenity for the residents of the building by having a better communal open space area on the rooftop and sufficient floor to floor heights. The objectives of Clause 4.3 as well as the R1 General Residential zone are upheld as a result of the development. The maximum height of buildings is 15m. The maximum height approved as part of this DA is 16.83m to the lift overrun. This equates to a variation of 1.83m / 12.2% to the development standard.
Extent of Variation:	1.83m / 12.2%
Concurring Authority:	Local Planning Panel under assumed concurrence
Date Determined:	20 February 2024

ITEM 2 - DA-120/2023 - 7-9 Sutton Road, Ashcroft

Property Address: 7-9 Sutton Road, Ashcroft Lots 176 & 177 DP 216017 Development Category: Residential Demolition of existing str removal and construction residential flat building come two levels of basement case landscaping and civil work identified as affordable hen Environmental Planning Instrument: Environmental Planning Instrument: Liverpool LEP 2008 Zoning of Land: Development Standard Varied: Justification of Variation: The variation to the meaning of the land it is argued the height improved amenity for future not negatively impact on the of additional overshadowi impact to the design of the land it is argued the height improved amenity for future not negatively impact on the deditional overshadowi impact to the design of the land it is not detrimental increases in busite including compliance ratio provisions for the increase in the height probetter amenity can be proceed on the land increase in the height probetter amenity can be proceed as well as space of floors. The objectives of Clause 4 High Density Residential z result of the approved development was not conterest and was therefor instance. The maximum height approved	
Development Category: Description: Demolition of existing str removal and construction residential flat building come two levels of basement can landscaping and civil work identified as affordable in Environmental Planning Instrument: Development Standard Varied: The variation to the mean Buildings development considered acceptable in the compliance was unlikely to and it is argued the height improved amenity for future not negatively impact on the of additional overshadowing impact to the design of the additional height is not detrimental increases in but site including compliance ratio provisions for the increase in the height provisi	
Development Category: Demolition of existing str removal and construction residential flat building community to the management of the provided in the pro	7
Description: Demolition of existing str removal and construction residential flat building come two levels of basement call landscaping and civil work identified as affordable her between the Environmental Planning Potential Planning Potent	
removal and construction residential flat building com two levels of basement cal landscaping and civil work identified as affordable henvironmental Planning Instrument: Zoning of Land: Development Standard Varied: Justification of Variation: Liverpool LEP 2008 R4 – High Density Resider Clause 4.3 – Height of Buil The variation to the me Buildings development considered acceptable in the compliance was unlikely to and it is argued the height improved amenity for future not negatively impact on the of additional overshadowing impact to the design of the additional height is not detrimental increases in busite including compliance ratio provisions for the increase in the height probetter amenity can be proccupants of the buildings to floor heights being main for the minimum floor to achieved as well as space of floors. The objectives of Clause 4 High Density Residential zeresult of the approved development was not continued in the process of the proved development was not continued in the proved development was n	
residential flat building com two levels of basement ca landscaping and civil work identified as affordable in Environmental Planning Instrument: Environmental Planning Instrument: Liverpool LEP 2008 R4 – High Density Resider Clause 4.3 – Height of Buil Justification of Variation: The variation to the m Buildings development considered acceptable in to compliance was unlikely to and it is argued the heigh improved amenity for future not negatively impact on the additional overshadow impact to the design of the ladditional height is not detrimental increases in busite including compliance ratio provisions for the increase in the height probetter amenity can be procedupants of the buildings to floor heights being main for the minimum floor to cachieved as well as space of floors. The objectives of Clause 4 High Density Residential zeresult of the approved development was not continerest and was therefor instance. The maximum height of buildings to floor the minimum height of buildings to floor the procedure of the approved development was not continerest and was therefor instance.	
two levels of basement ca landscaping and civil work identified as affordable h Environmental Planning Instrument: Environmental Planning Instrument: Liverpool LEP 2008 R4 – High Density Resider Clause 4.3 – Height of Buil Justification of Variation: The variation to the m Buildings development considered acceptable in to compliance was unlikely to and it is argued the height improved amenity for future not negatively impact on the of additional overshadowi impact to the design of the additional height is not detrimental increases in busite including compliance ratio provisions for the increase in the height probetter amenity can be proccupants of the buildings to floor heights being main for the minimum floor to cachieved as well as space of floors. The objectives of Clause 4 High Density Residential zeresult of the approved development was not conterest and was therefor instance. The maximum height of buildings to floor instance.	•
landscaping and civil work identified as affordable h Environmental Planning Potentified as affordable h Environmental Planning Potentified as affordable h Environmental Planning Potentification of Land: Development Standard Varied: Justification of Variation: The variation to the m Buildings development considered acceptable in the compliance was unlikely to and it is argued the height improved amenity for future not negatively impact on the of additional overshadow impact to the design of the additional height is not detrimental increases in but with including compliance ratio provisions for the increase in the height probetter amenity can be procupants of the buildings to floor heights being main for the minimum floor to achieved as well as space if floors. The objectives of Clause 4 High Density Residential zeresult of the approved development was not continued in the minimum height of both interest and was therefor instance.	
identified as affordable henvironmental Planning Potential Planning Potential Planning Instrument: Zoning of Land: Development Standard Varied: Justification of Variation: The variation to the menuity for future not negatively impact on the additional height is not detrimental increases in but site including compliance ratio provisions for the increase in the height probetter amenity can be processed as well as space of floors. The objectives of Clause 4.1 High Density Residential zeresult of the approved development was not continued in the increase of the processed as well as space of floors. The objectives of Clause 4.1 High Density Residential zeresult of the approved development was not continued in the processed and was therefored instance. The maximum height of both the province of the provisions for the approved development was not continued as a provinced in the processed and was therefored instance.	
Environmental Planning Instrument: Zoning of Land: Development Standard Varied: Justification of Variation: The variation to the meant of additional overshadowi impact to the design of the additional height is not detrimental increases in bus site including compliance ratio provisions for the increase in the height probetter amenity can be proccupants of the buildings to floor heights being main for the minimum floor to achieved as well as space of floors. The objectives of Clause 4 High Density Residential z result of the approved development was not con interest and was therefor instance. The maximum height of buildings to floor heights designed as the provision of the approved development was not con interest and was therefor instance.	
Environmental Planning Instrument: Zoning of Land: Development Standard Varied: Justification of Variation: The variation to the mean Buildings development considered acceptable in the compliance was unlikely to and it is argued the heightimproved amenity for future not negatively impact on the additional overshadowing impact to the design of the additional height is not detrimental increases in busing increase in the height probetter amenity can be procupants of the buildings to floor heights being main for the minimum floor to achieved as well as space of floors. The objectives of Clause 4 High Density Residential zeresult of the approved development was not coninterest and was therefor instance. The maximum height of buildings to floor the minimum floor to coninterest and was therefor instance.	
R4 - High Density Resider	olicy (Housing) 2021.
Development Standard Varied: Justification of Variation: The variation to the management considered acceptable in the compliance was unlikely to and it is argued the height improved amenity for future not negatively impact on the additional overshadow impact to the design of the additional height is not detrimental increases in busite including compliance ratio provisions for the increase in the height probetter amenity can be proccupants of the buildings to floor heights being main for the minimum floor to achieved as well as space of floors. The objectives of Clause 4 High Density Residential zeresult of the approved development was not continterest and was therefore instance. The maximum height of buildings to floor heights of the approved development was not continterest and was therefore instance.	atial
The variation to the management considered acceptable in the compliance was unlikely to and it is argued the height improved amenity for future not negatively impact on the design of the ladditional height is not detrimental increases in but site including compliance ratio provisions for the increase in the height probetter amenity can be procupants of the buildings to floor heights being main for the minimum floor to achieved as well as space of floors. The objectives of Clause 4 High Density Residential zeresult of the approved development was not continued in the maximum height of buildings. The maximum height of buildings are maximum height of buildings.	
Buildings development considered acceptable in the compliance was unlikely to and it is argued the height improved amenity for future not negatively impact on the of additional overshadowing impact to the design of the additional height is not detrimental increases in busite including compliance ratio provisions for the increase in the height probetter amenity can be prooccupants of the buildings to floor heights being main for the minimum floor to achieved as well as space floors. The objectives of Clause 4 High Density Residential zeresult of the approved development was not coninterest and was therefor instance.	
The objectives of Clause 4 High Density Residential z result of the approved development was not con interest and was therefor instance. The maximum height of but	standard was his instance as strict or reduce any impact at variation provides a residents and does be local area in terms and or determinantal building. Further, the contributing to any lk and scale over the with the floor space development. The aposed ensures that ovided to the future is with sufficient floor tained, which allows ceiling heights to be
	one are upheld as a development. The ntrary to the public
19m to the lift overrun. variation of 1m / 5.55% standard.	as part of this DA is This equates to a
Extent of Variation: 1m / 5.55%	
Concurring Authority: Local Planning Panel concurrence	under assumed
Date Determined: 25 March 2024	

ITEM 3 - DA-23/2023 - 2-3 Wilga Close, Casula

DA Number:	DA-23/2023
Property Address:	2-3 Wilga Close, Casula
	Lots 82 & 83 DP 244786
Development Category:	Residential
Description:	Alterations to the upper level of an approved residential flat building (DA-1305/2021) involving the construction of three additional units above the fourth storey to be provided as affordable rental housing under SEPP (Housing) 2021, minor façade changes, the addition of nine carparking spaces, rearrangement of the basement carpark and a modification to the approved communal open space.
Environmental Planning Instrument:	Liverpool LEP 2008
Zoning of Land:	R4 – High Density Residential
Development Standard Varied:	Clause 4.3 Height of Buildings
Justification of Variation:	The variation to the maximum Height of Buildings development standard was considered acceptable in this instance as strict compliance was unlikely to reduce any impacts. The height variation does not negatively impact on the local area in terms of additional overshadowing or detrimental impact to the design of the building. Further, the additional height is not contributing to any detrimental increases in bulk and scale over the site including compliance with the floor space ratio provisions for the development. Additionally, the height exceedance allows for an added amenity for the residents of the building by having a better communal open space area on the rooftop and sufficient floor to floor heights. The objectives of Clause 4.3 as well as the R4 High Density Residential zone are upheld as a result of
	the approved development. The development was not contrary to the public interest and was therefore supported in this instance. The maximum height of buildings is 15m. The maximum height approved as part of this DA is 16.2m to the lift overrun. This equates to a variation of 1.2m / 8% to the development standard.
Extent of Variation:	1.2m / 8% of the development standard
Concurring Authority:	Local Planning Panel under assumed concurrence
Date Determined:	25 March 2024

ITEM 4 -DA-23/2023 - 2-3 Wilga Close, Casula

DA Number:	DA-23/2023
Property Address:	2-3 Wilga Close, Casula
	Lots 82 & 83 DP 244786
Development Category:	Residential
Description:	Alterations to the upper level of an approved residential flat building (DA-1305/2021) involving the construction of three additional units above the fourth storey to be provided as affordable rental housing under SEPP (Housing) 2021, minor façade changes, the addition of nine carparking spaces, rearrangement of the basement carpark and a modification to the approved communal open space
Environmental Planning Instrument:	Liverpool LEP 2008
Zoning of Land:	R4 – High Density Residential
Development Standard Varied:	Clause 7.14 Minimum Building Street Frontage
Justification of Variation:	The variation to the Minimum Building Street Frontage development standard was considered acceptable in this instance as strict compliance was unlikely to reduce any impacts. The variation was the same that was granted to the previous DA on the site which was for principally the same development (a 16-unit residential flat building). The additional three dwellings approved by this development are unlikely to further impact the variation that was accepted in the previous consent. Additionally, the revised scheme provided surplus off-street parking, which will reduce the impact of the shortfall in building street frontage by requiring less on-street parking. The objectives of Clause 7.14 as well as the R4 High Density Residential zone are upheld as a result of the approved development. The minimum building street frontage is 24m. The street frontage approved as part of this DA is 21.865m. This equates to a variation of 2.135m / 8.9% to the development standard.
Extent of Variation:	2.135m / 8.9% of the development standard
Concurring Authority:	Local Planning Panel under assumed concurrence
Date Determined:	25 March 2024

ITEM 5 - DA-48/2022 - 75 Thirteenth Avenue, Austral

DA Number:	DA-48/2022
Property Address:	75 Thirteenth Avenue, Austral
Development Category:	Subdivision
Description:	Demolition of existing structures, remediation works, subdivision of one (1) existing lot into twenty (20) residential lots and one (1) residue lot, road construction and associated civil works and landscaping over three stages.
	The application is identified as Integrated Development pursuant to Section 4.46 of the Rural Fires Act 1997 requiring General Terms of Approval from the NSW Rural Fire Service.
Environmental Planning Instrument:	State Environmental Planning Policy (Precincts – Western Parkland City) 2021
Zoning of Land:	R3 Medium Density Residential
Development Standard Varied:	4.1B Residential Density
Justification of Variation:	The proposed variation to the minimum Residential Density development standard was considered acceptable in this instance as strict compliance was unlikely to reduce any impacts.
	The site is located on land with two residential densities. Whilst the minimum residential density is not achieved in the R3 zone, it was considered acceptable for Lot 14 to remain as a residue lot until such time as the adjoining sites are developed to prevent land fragmentation. This will enable a coherent subdivision pattern that is consistent with the precinct vision for the locality.
	Approved Lot 14 is to be developed with the adjoining land and will ensure that future residential development is able to accommodate a dwelling house consistent with the Part 4 of the DCP 2021, which was considered compatible with the character of the precinct.
	The minimum residential density is 25 dw/ha. The residential density approved as part of this DA is 9.4 dw/ha. This equates to a variation of 15.6dw/ha / 62.4% to the development standard.
Extent of Variation:	15.6dw/ha / 62.4%
Concurring Authority:	Local Planning Panel under assumed concurrence
Date Determined:	25 March 2024